

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/702,197	11/05/2003	Steve Ghertner	07006.1011	7103
35856	7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
LAVA GROUP LAW BY SMITH & FROHWEIN, LLC P.O. BOX 88148			FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
ATLANTA,	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3751	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A. 4' Occurred	10/702,197	GHERTNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ma	a <u>y 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4,22-32 and 39-43 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	atriation and/or alastian requirem	a ant				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 22-32 and 39-43</u> are subject to re	striction and/or election requirem	ieni.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · .				
The fath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori 	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 3751

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4 and 39-43, drawn to a leak detection apparatus, classified in class 4, subclass 314.
- II. Claims 22-32, drawn to a method for leak detection, classified in class 4, subclass 661.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of
use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or
both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using
the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially
different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a
materially different process of using that product (MPEP
\$ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a
process not requiring a standard fill time to be determined.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification which would lead to divergent fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,197

Art Unit: 3751

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga

Page 3

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751